	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/840,008	EVANS, RONALD M.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph T. Woitach	1632
The MAILING DATE of this communication apper All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>July 22, 2004</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-26</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on 29 April 2003 are accepted by the Ex	xaminer.	
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: ☐ Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date ☐ (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date ☐ Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the deposit of the priority documents and priority documents in the deposit of the priority documents in the priority documents in the deposit of the priority documents in the priority docu	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this is of this communication to file a reply of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAMINER' best reason(s) why the oath or declarate to be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-6) as Amendment / Comment or in the Oct. 84(c)) should be written on the drawing he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(consist of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL in	national stage application from the complying with the requirements S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF tion is deficient. 948) attached Office action of the back) of d). nust be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s)		·-
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		atent Application (PTO-152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat	e
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 	8), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amendn	nent/Comment
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		ent of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9. Other	
		,

Application/Control Number: 09/840,008

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

This application filed April 20, 2001 is a continuation in part of 09/458,366, filed December 9, 1999, which is a continuation in part of 09/227,718, filed January 8, 1999, which is a continuation in part of 09/005,286, filed January 9, 1998.

Applicants' amendment filed July 22, 2004, has been received and entered Claims 1-26 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

The elected invention has been found allowable, and a review and examination of the linking claims for the full breadth to the claimed invention has also been found allowable.

Accordingly, groups I and II are rejoined, and the restriction requirement is withdrawn.

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As noted previously, the claimed invention is free of the art of record. The claimed invention is based on the discovery and characterization of a new class of steroid receptors and their consensus sequence in the promoter element. Examiner agrees that the claims have been amended to recite and encompass elements of the disclosed invention addressing the issues raised under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. With respect to the obvious double patenting rejection, upon review of the pending claims of '268, Examiner agrees that the two inventions are distinct and not obvious over one another in that they contain materially and structurally

different elements. With respect to the withdrawal of the restriction requirement, it is noted that only difference between the two groups is the nuclear receptor recited in the dependent claims, the steroid xenobiotic receptor and the pregnane X receptor. Each is fully disclosed in the present specification, and demonstrated to be effective in the expression system as instantly claimed. Given the characterization of these specific receptors, the similarity of the essential elements of the two receptors, and the limitations of the promoter element used in the expression system, the claims meet all the requirements of 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (571) 272-0739.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached at (571) 272-0734.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group analyst Dianiece Jacobs whose telephone number is (571) 272-0532.

Joseph T. Woitach

JOSEPH WOTTACH
PATENT EXAMINED

Joe Walter